

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,865	05/10/2001	Bradley M. Hiben	СМ04756Н	СМ04756Н 5153	
22917	7590 07/19/2004		EXAMINER		
MOTOROLA, INC.			LEE, JOHN J		
1303 EAST . IL01/3RD	1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCHAUMBI	URG, IL 60196	2684	. 16		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	, ,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/852,865	HIBEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN J LEE	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	pril 2004.					
· <u> </u>						
· <u> </u>	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Raith (US Patent number 6,498,936) in view of Sakoda et al. (US Patent number 6,532,223).

Regarding **claim 1**, Raith discloses that a method comprising a receiving device (mobile station M2-M9 in Fig. 1) performing (see Fig. 4 and column 4, lines 1 – column 5, lines 30). Raith teaches that receiving channel carriers message (multi-carrier signal see Fig. 17) comprising a plurality of time slots wherein each time slot comprises a plurality of sub-channels (Fig. 17 and column 18, lines 28 – column 19, lines 35, where teaches a channel carriers message comprises a plurality time slots including channels (sub-channels)). Raith teaches that operating in a first decoding mode (power saving (sleep) mode for detecting control information) wherein the first decoding mode comprises a low power mode (mobile station usually enters sleep mode (low power mode) for saving battery power) (see column 9, lines 58 – column 10, lines 17 and Fig. 3), to decode one or more sub-channels of the plurality of sub-channels (Fig. 4 and column 4, lines 54 – column 5, lines 30), thereby yielding control information (Fig. 4, column 4, lines 54 – column 5, lines 30, and column 9, lines 58 – column 10, lines 17,

Art Unit: 2684

where teaches decoding digital control channels in broadcast message). Raith teaches that if the control information includes indicia of payload directed to the receiving device (Fig. 4) (Fig. 8 and column 13, lines 59 – column 14, lines 41, where teaches the mobile station checks whether the control information indicating payload data contained, and if correctly received, decodes the payload channels), operating in a second decoding mode (power up (high power) mode) to decode one or more additional sub-channels of the plurality of sub-channels, thereby yielding payload information (Fig. 8, column 13, lines 59 – column 14, lines 41, and column 4, lines 54 – column 5, lines 30).

Raith does not exactly disclose the limitation "receiving a multi-carrier signal". However, Sakoda discloses the limitation "receiving a multi-carrier signal" (see Fig. 4, 6 and column 4, lines 15 – column 5, lines 2, where teaches receiving multi-carrier signal comprises a plurality of timeslots including a plurality of sub-channels). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Raith system as taught by Sakoda. The motivation does so would be to achieve improving mobile service for saving mobile battery power in mobile communication system.

Regarding **claim 2**, Raith discloses that in the first decoding mode, the receiving device decodes the one or more sub-channels of the plurality of sub-channels, thereby further yielding payload information (Fig. 4 and column 4, lines 1 – column 5, lines 30, where teaches within short payload information to decode by sleep mode for saving mobile battery).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding **claim 3**, Raith discloses that in the first decoding mode, the receiving device decodes only the one or more sub-channels of the plurality of sub-channels, which yield control information (Fig. 17 and column 18, lines 28 – column 19, lines 35).

Regarding **claim 4**, Raith discloses that in the second decoding mode, the receiving device decodes the one or more sub-channels of the plurality of sub-channels, which yield control information and the one or more additional sub-channels of the plurality of sub-channels, which yield payload information (Fig. 4, 17, column 4, lines 1 – column 5, lines 30, and column 18, lines 28 – column 19, lines 35, where teaches decoding header portion (control information) and payload portion).

Regarding claim 5, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claim 1. Furthermore, Raith further discloses that transmitting a multi-carrier signal comprising a plurality of time slots wherein each time slot comprises M sub-channels spanning a bandwidth BM (Fig. 2, 26, column 26, lines 66 – column 27, lines 22, and column 2, lines 49 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches a channel carriers message comprises a plurality time slots including channels (sub-channels) and broadcast channels provide enhanced flexibility with respect to bandwidth allocation, sub-channelization). Raith teaches that transmitting control information, in one or more control sub-channels of the M sub-channels occupying a first portion of the bandwidth BM (Fig. 2, 3, 26, column 26, lines 66 – column 27, lines 22, and column 2, lines 49 – column 3, lines 65, where teaches transmitting the control information (DCCH) to be transmitted within a time slot for occupying a first portion).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding **claim 6**, Raith discloses that the sending device is a base station (Fig. 6) and a receiving device (Fig. 4) is a radio communication unit (column 4, lines 2-35 and Fig. 1).

Regarding **claim 7**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 5.

Regarding **claim 8**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 3.

Regarding **claim 9**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 5. Furthermore, Raith further discloses that sending the payload information to the receiving device in one or more payload sub-channels of the M sub-channels occupying a second portion of the bandwidth B_M (Fig. 3, 8, column 13, lines 59 – column 14, lines 41, and column 2, lines 49 – column 3, lines 65).

Regarding **claim 10**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 5.

Regarding **claim 11**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, Raith further discloses that decoding the payload sub-channels comprises the receiving device decoding the full bandwidth B_M (Fig. 2, 26, column 26, lines 66 – column 27, lines 22, and column 2, lines 49 – column 3, lines 65).

Regarding **claim 12**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 5.

Regarding **claim 13**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, Raith further discloses that determining, by the sending

Art Unit: 2684

device (base station), if the payload information can be communicated via the control sub-channels (Fig. 4, 17, column 4, lines 1 – column 5, lines 30, and column 18, lines 28 – column 19, lines 35). Raith teaches that if the payload information can be communicated via the control sub-channels, sending the payload information to the receiving device (mobile station) via the one or more control sub-channels (Fig. 4, 17, column 4, lines 1 – column 5, lines 30, and column 18, lines 28 – column 19, lines 35).

Regarding **claim 14**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 5.

Regarding **claim 15**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 8.

Regarding **claim 16**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 9.

Regarding **claim 17**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 10.

Regarding **claim 18**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 11.

Regarding **claim 19**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 12.

Regarding **claim 20**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 5.

Regarding **claim 21**, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 3.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 22, Raith and Sakoda disclose all the limitation, as discussed in claims 1 and 4.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Raith (US Patent number 5,930,706) discloses Detecting Messages Transmitted Over a Communications Channel such As a Paging Channel.

Dent et al. (US Patent number 5,991,635) discloses Reduced Power Sleep Modes for Mobile Telephones.

Muller (US Patent number 6,438,375) discloses Coordinating Different Types of Messages Sent to Mobile Radios in a Mobile Communications System.

Information regarding...Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system... at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)."

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Art Unit: 2684

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is (703) 306-5936. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Nay Aung Maung**, can be reached on (703) 308-7745. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.L

July 9, 2004

NICK CORSARO PATENT EXAMINER

John J Lee